

**SECOND ADDENDUM TO THE RFP FOR
NOISE AND OPERATIONS MONITORING SYSTEM SERVICES
AT ST. LOUIS LAMBERT INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT®**

August 7, 2025

Dear Prospective Bidders:

Attached is the Second Addendum to the Request For Proposals for **Noise and Operations Monitoring System Services** at St. Louis Lambert International Airport dated July 18, 2025, as amended August 5, 2025. (“RFP”), This First Addendum is being issued to do the following:

- a. Delete Questions and Responses and replace it with the new Questions and Responses, attached hereto and incorporated herein as Attachment 1.

The City of St. Louis Airport Authority (“Authority”) reserves the right to reject any and all proposals, to advertise for new proposals, to cancel this RFP, and/or proceed to have the services performed otherwise. The submission of a proposal by a Proposer shall not in any way commit the City of St. Louis or the Authority to enter into an Agreement with that Proposer or any other Proposer.

All other terms, conditions, and provisions of the RFP not inconsistent with this Second Addendum are unchanged and remain in full force and effect.

Sincerely,

Delia Cummings
Delia Cummings
Contract Supervisor

Attachment 1
Questions and Responses

Questions and Responses

- Q.1** As an internationally based company we do not currently have a registered office in Missouri. Would it be acceptable to register in the State of Missouri at the time of contract award?
- R.1** Yes
- Q.2** Is the Missouri Certificate of Good Standing strictly required to participate in the tender, or would a notarized self-declaration attesting that our company is in good standing with tax obligations in our country of registration be considered acceptable? Alternatively, would another type of certification/self-declaration having the information you require be acceptable, based on your guidance?
- R.2** The Airport will consider all submissions. However, a State of Missouri registration is required at the time of contract execution.
- Q.3** Is there a maximum contract value or budget ceiling that we should consider when preparing our financial offer?
- R.3** The Airport will consider all proposals
- Q.4** Is there any technical docs and/or compliance matrix to prepare or we just have to confirm that our solution satisfies all the technical requirements?
- R.4** Proposer must attest that their solution satisfies the technical requirements of the RFP. Then if selected to provide an in-person presentation, the proposer must demonstrate compliance.
- Q.5** Could you please clarify whether, at the time of submitting the proposal, it is sufficient to provide a commitment to issue a guarantee (e.g., a declaration of intent from a bank or insurance company or the attachment?
- R.5** A Proposal to Bond Form is a required submittal. See Section 17.G "Required Submittals", and Section 20.C "Performance Bond and Payment Bond" of the RFP
- Q.6** *'Aircraft noise event detection will utilize AI processing as the primary means of identifying aircraft noise events. Each NMT will be analyzed and adjusted using AI processes to ensure the maximum capture rate of aircraft noise events.'*

Can STL confirm that the AI processed aircraft noise detection cited above will be consistent with both of the FAA and industry recommended standard approaches/requirements for aircraft noise detection outlined in CFR Title 14 Sec. A150.5 Noise measurement procedures and equipment, as well as the ARP4721/2, "Monitoring Aircraft Noise and Operations in the Vicinity of Airports: System Description, Acquisition, and Operation". If not, we request that STL provide the standards to which they would recommend are followed.

R.6 The Airport will consider systems that don't include AI processing to enhance aircraft detection rates; however, the vendor should detail how their NOMS system will reduce the rate of false positive/non-detection of aircraft noise events through another means and their plan to validate the results.

Q.7 Can STL provide details on who will be on the RFP evaluation committee?

R.7 See Section 15.A and 15.C "Selection Criteria" of the RFP.

Q.8 Firstly, Attachment 4 of the tender requires a 'Proposal to Bond Form' to be included, intended to assure STL that the winning bidder can provide the requested Performance and Payment Bonds. As an alternative, would it be acceptable for us to provide a Bid Guarantee from our bank, stating that we will provide a Performance and Payment Bond if awarded the contract?

R.8 See Response 5.

Q.9 Secondly, regarding Clause 20, "Performance Bond and Payment Bond," our bank can provide a Bank Guarantee instead of the requested bonds. This guarantee would essentially serve the same purpose but in a different format. Could you please confirm if this alternative is acceptable?

R.9 The City prefers bonds as outlined in Section 20.A, but may consider an alternative format, to be discussed in the contract negotiation phase with the successful Proposer.